2014 Administrative Rule Proposed Rule and SONAR Form

Revisor's ID Number: RD4224

Submitting Agency:	Employment and Economic Development	Date:	April 1, 2014
Rule Contact:	Natasha Lemler and Chuk Hamilton		
	Natasha.Lemler@state.mn.us		651-539-2344
E-mail Address:	Chuk.Hamilton@state.mn.us	Phone #:	651-539-2276

Title:	Services for the Blind Administrative Rule	
(Short descriptive title)		
Chapter number(s):	3325	
Comments received during	One letter was received that suggested changes unrelated to the rule. The	
Request for Comments:	agency declined inclusion.	
Statement of Need and	SSB amended Chapter 3325 in 2011. Since the promulgation of that rule,	
Reasonableness (SONAR)	several items were discovered that needed to be revised or included. These	
Executive Summary:	items are categorized into three key areas:	
w*		
	1. Clarify terms and processes. For example, more clearly outlining	
	when a certified letter needs to be mailed to an individual. Current	
	rule appears to indicate that a certified letter is only sent to those	
	eligible individuals whose record of services is being closed who	
	have an individualized plan for employment. SSB's intent is to	
w	provide a certified letter to all individuals whose record of services	
	*	
	is being closed for reasons other than successful closure or death.	
***	2. Correct oversights. For example, including the term "fees" in	
	addition to tuition and materials required for training at an	
	institution of higher learning. Fees are a standard charge included	
	with tuition at an institution of higher learning	
*	3. Add policy to the rule. For example, defining the requirements of	
	assistive technology trainers. Other types of trainers, including	
	rehabilitation teachers and orientation and mobility instructors, are	
	currently defined. As SSB frequently contracts with assistive	
	technology trainers, it is important to include those requirements.	
	This policy has been in effect for several years and known by staff	
>		
	and providers but needs to be committed to rule.	
Supporters, opponents, and	The rule amendments are expected to be supported by the groups	
possible controversies:	represented by members of the advisory committee. It is always possible	
possiole contro versios.	that issues could arise from other parties.	
	MINI TOOMAD ACRIDA MIDA MONTA CANTAL CANTAL	

List significant changes from preliminary proposal:	The preliminary proposal categorized the changes into four key areas: conform state rule parts to federal laws and regulations, clarify terms and processes, correct oversights, and add policy. "Conforming state rule parts" was consolidated under "correct oversights."		
	There were no significant changes from the preliminary proposal.		
Other:			
Fiscal Yes Impact:	No *If the Fiscal Impact determination has changed, please explain above.*		
AGENCY: Attach draft rules and SONAR.			
K. Club Suber Commissioner's Signature 4/2/2014 Date			
Com	missioner's Signature Date		
THIS SECTIO	N TO BE COMPLETED BY THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE		
	formation and have approved this administrative rule. The respective h a Notice of Intent to Adopt Proposed Rules.		
Governor's Policy Advisor	Date		